

## **Minutes**

Meeting name	Planning Committee
Date	Thursday, 3 February 2022
Start time	6.00 pm
Venue	Parkside, Station Approach, Burton Street,
	Melton Mowbray, Leicestershire, LE13 1GH

## **Present:**

Chair Councillor P. Posnett MBE (Chair)

**Councillors** M. Steadman (Vice-Chair) R. Browne

P. Chandler
J. Douglas
E. Holmes
J. Illingworth
R. Smith
T. Webster
P. Wood

Officers Assistant Director for Planning and Delivery

Solicitor

Planning Development Manager Senior Planning Officer (RR)

Senior Democratic Services & Scrutiny Officer

1

Democratic Services Officer (SE)

Planning Committee: 030222

Minute No.	Minute		
PL68	Apologies for Absence There were no apologies for absence. Councillor Webster was not present at the start of the meeting.		
PL69	Minutes The minutes of record.	the meeting held on 13 January 2022 were confirmed as a true	
PL70	Declarations of Interest  Councillor Posnett held a standing personal interest in any matters relating to the Leicestershire County Council due to her role as a County Councillor.		
PL71	Schedule of A	pplications	
PL72	Application 21/00834/FUL		
	Application:	21/00834/FUL	
	Location: Proposal:	Meadow Cottage, 37 New Road, Burton Lazars  Demolition of existing dwelling and outbuilding and erection of a replacement 3 bedroom dwelling	
	The Senior Planning Officer addressed the Committee and provided a the application and advised that since publication of the report respon received from the Highway Authority and the Leicestershire County Control Ecological section both of which had raised no objections. Therefore the was recommended for approval.		
	The Senior Pla	nning Officer responded to Member queries as follows:	
	<ul> <li>Approximately one third of the paddock was included in the application, some of this was patio and the application did include for the paddock to become part of the garden and to prevent further development of the site Members could remove permitted development rights</li> <li>To ensure materials were in-keeping with the neighbouring historic cottage it</li> </ul>		
	submitted fo	• •	
	would rema	a public right of way not just for this house but for the field and this in rstood the property was in the ownership of the applicant	
	Pursuant to Ch	apter 2, Part 9, Paragraphs 2.8-2.28 of the Council's Constitution in ic speaking at Planning Committee, the Chair allowed the following ute presentation:	
		or Tim Blewett of Burton and Dalby Parish Council or Blewit responded to Member questions as follows:	

The developer had not approached the Parish Council about the application Although the draft Neighbourhood Plan referenced from its survey of residents that 3 bed houses were needed, Councillor Blewett quoted a higher percentage had a preference for 2 bed bungalows He was unsure of the boundary ownership and was aware of the public right of way between the application site and the neighbouring property

- Wayne Hickling, Objector
- Chris Jesson, Agent of Planning and Design Group Mr Jesson responded to Member questions as follows:
   The application had been submitted on the basis of ownership by the applicant

The Parish Council nor the Ward Councillor had been consulted and this was mainly a timing issue and they were more than happy to discuss the application in the future

 Councillor Robert Child, Ward Councillor Councillor Child responded to a question as follows:
 The development would be 2 metres higher than the neighbouring historic cottage as that property was set very low

During discussion the following points were noted:

- The Neighbourhood Plan was in draft form and was currently not at a stage to carry sufficient weight to be applied in this case
- It was pointed out that although the proposed property would be 2 metres higher than the existing building, it was still lower than other neighbouring buildings therefore the proposal would not dominate the street scene
- The access arrangement was existing and was not a new entrance
- The green space currently provided no amenity value
- From the recent survey of residents for the Neighbourhood Plan, 57 percent of responders were in favour of 3 bed homes
- There was generally no objection to the development
- However it was felt local democracy had not been followed and to ensure transparency the application should be deferred to allow this to take place
- It was noted that both the Ward Councillor and Parish Council would like some input on the application and they should have the opportunity for this dialogue with the applicant to reach a compromise
- It was considered preferable that the proposal be sympathetic to the neighbouring historic cottage

Councillor Browne proposed that the application be deferred to enable the full democratic process to be followed in the form of discussions with the Parish Council and Ward Councillor to reach a compromise position. Councillor Steadman seconded the motion.

## **RESOLVED**

That the application be DEFERRED to enable the full democratic process to

	be followed in the form of discussions with the Parish Council and Ward Councillor to reach a compromise position.	
	(Councillor Webster entered the meeting at 6:07 pm during the Senior Planning Officer's presentation therefore he took not part in the debate nor voted on this application.)	
PL73	Urgent Business	
	There was no urgent business.	

The meeting closed at: 6.59 pm

Chair